

Local Literacy Plan: Kindergarten - Grade 3

District: Lyle Public School, ISD 497

2023-2024 School Year

Developed by: Jamie Goebel, Superintendent; Cori McRae, Principal/DAC; and Dannielle Sayles, Title I Coordinator

Purpose Statement

This plan focuses on the Lyle Public School District's continuous improvement efforts to advance student learning, increase the number of students reading proficiently at grade level, close racial and socioeconomic achievement gaps, and ensure all students are career and college ready by:

- Using data to conduct ongoing reviews of literacy curriculum, instructional practices, and student achievement.
- Improving the capacity of K-3 teachers to utilize culturally responsive, evidence-based strategies to deliver core literacy instruction.
- Developing a systematic approach to supplementing core literacy instruction with evidence-based interventions for students not yet reading proficiently at grade level.
- Providing outreach, education, and support to parents to increase their capacity to support their children's literacy development at home.
- · Providing ongoing, supportive professional development in literacy assessment and instruction

Students in grades K-3 are considered proficient when they are able to master grade level benchmarks from the MN Academic Standards in English Language Arts and can read literature and informational texts representative of the levels outlined in the text complexity bands of the MN Academic Standards in English Language Arts accurately, fluently, and with comprehension. Reading at grade level is defined as meeting grade level benchmarks or higher on the Fastbridge aReading assessment.

Lyle Public School's Mission Statement is: Partnering with students, families, and communities to develop curious, engaged, and capable leaders who value learning, integrity, and service to others.

The literacy plan vision statement/goal is: To ensure the success of all Lyle students in achieving reading proficiency by grade 3, District 497 will establish with families a shared vision and strong foundational partnership that is focused on the development of capable readers and writers at every level.

- In order to meet our goal, we will:
 - o Provide explicit, systematic instruction in reading.
 - o Provide professional development to teachers via LETRS training.
 - o Provide targeted interventions based on diagnostic and formative assessment.

Use of Data

ISD 497 uses data to guide and individualize classroom instruction and interventions; to monitor student progress at various levels; to measure student literacy growth and reading proficiency; to evaluate the impact and effectiveness of reading interventions; to guide continuous improvement efforts, and to ensure accountability to all stakeholders.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

An analysis of student achievement data reveals the following insights;

- Lyle Public School students' proficiency on the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment (MCA) in reading has not been strong since the pandemic and due to the transient population of our students. For example, only 6 of our current 4th graders started their school career at Lyle.
- Lyle Public School utilizes FASTBridge for our district reading assessment. Our WBWF goals are:
 - o Goal 1 = The percentage of students in grades K-4 who are on target or college pathway categories on the Fastbridge AReading test will increase from 45.1% (Fall 2023) to 50.0% (Spring 2024) proficiency.
 - o Goal 2 = Students in K-8 will show an increase of 5% proficiency based on fall to spring Fastbridge assessments.

Assessment Plan

Reading assessment is an ongoing process which includes screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic assessments. Lyle Public School employs a balanced assessment approach that identifies, through multiple measure benchmarks, students' performance relative to grade level proficiency. Multiple sources of data are used to measure all students' progress and make instructional and programmatic decisions. Teachers use the results of a variety of assessments to identify students' specific reading strengths and needs, to plan differentiated core instruction, to identify students in need of intervention, and to monitor the effectiveness of interventions.

Screening

District-wide benchmark screening assessments are given three times yearly. All students in Kindergarten through Grade 3 are assessed in September, January, and May with Fastbridge aReading assessment. Additionally, students in kindergarten are given FastBridge Early Reading assessments. Students in grade 1 are given FastBridge Early Reading assessments and CBM Reading. Students in grades 2-3 are given Fastbridge CBM Reading. Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark assessments are administered as needed to find a student's level. All students in grades 1-3 are assessed with Words Their Way Spelling Inventory to determine appropriate level for word work instruction and as a screener for possible spelling problems.

Diagnosis

Based on student data, more diagnostic assessment is sometimes necessary. Some students whose assessment data shows them to be at risk for reading difficulty may be assessed individually with the PAST, LETRS Phonics and Word Reading Survey, Whole to Part Assessment, or the QRI (Qualitative Reading Inventory).

English language learners are also assessed annually using the WIDA Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State (ACCESS) for English Language Learners. This assessment measures students' progress in acquiring academic English. It assesses students' skills within four domains of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing relative to the WIDA English language development standards. The results of the ACCESS are shared with families and teachers.

Progress Monitoring

Students with benchmark assessment scores below proficiency targets are progress monitored bi-weekly with Fastbridge Early Reading (Letter Names, Letter Sounds, and/or Nonsense Words) and/or CBM Reading.

Kindergarten Assessments 2022-2023

Period	Timed	Measure	Target	Purpose	Administered By
Fall	No	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 386-414	Differentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher,
(Sept.)		aReading	• Tier 2 369-385	Title I Eligibility	FAST Proctor
			 Tier 3 Below 369 	 Personalized Learning 	
			• CP Above 414		
Fall	Yes, 1	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 14	Provides Baseline	Title I Teacher/Para
(Sept.)	minute	Letter Name	• Tier 2 3-13	Personalized Learning Plan	
		Fluency	• Tier 3 Below 3	Differentiate Instruction	
		•	2102 0 2010 11 0	Title I Eligibility	
				Dyslexia Screening	
Fall	Yes, 1	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 3	Provides Baseline	Title I Teacher/Para
(Sept.)	minute	Letter Sound	• Tier 2 1-2	Personalized Learning Plan	Title T Teacher/Turu
(Бери)	minute	Fluency	• Tier 3 Below 1	General Outcome Measure	
			• Hei 3 Below 1	Differentiate Instruction	
				Title I Eligibility	
Fall	No	Fastbridge	m: 1.2	2 Joseph Servening	Title I Teacher/Para
	NO	Word	• Tier 1 3	Provides Baseline	Title I Teacher/Para
(Sept.)		Segmenting	• Tier 2 1-2	Personalized Learning Plan	
		Segmenting	• Tier 3 0	Differentiate Instruction	
				Dyslexia Screening	
Winter	No	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 417-442	 Evaluate Progress 	Classroom Teacher,
(Jan.)		aReading	• Tier 2 391-416	Differentiate Instruction	FAST Proctor
			• Tier 3 Below 391	Title I Eligibility	
			• CP Above 442	 Personalized Learning 	
Winter	Yes	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 26	 Evaluate Progress 	Title I Teacher/Para
(Jan.)		Letter Sound	• Tier 2 13-25	 Personalized Learning 	
		Fluency	• Tier 3 Below 13	 General Outcome Measure 	
				 Differentiate Instruction 	
				Title I Eligibility	
				 Dyslexia Screening 	
Winter	Yes	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 6	Evaluate Progress	Title I Teacher/Para
(Jan.)		Nonsense	• Tier 2 2-5	 Personalized Learning Plan 	
		Words	• Tier 3 Below 2	Differentiate Instruction	
		Fluency		Dyslexia Screening	
Spring	No	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 437-454	Evaluate Progress	Classroom Teacher,
(May)	1 - 1 - 1	aReading	• Tier 2 414-436	Differentiate Instruction	FAST Proctor
,			• Tier 3 Below 414	Title I Eligibility	
			• CP Above 454	Personalized Learning	
Spring	Yes	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 41	Evaluate Progress	Title I Teacher/Para
(May)	105	Letter Sound	• Tier 2 26-40	Personalized Learning Plan	Thie I Teacher/I ald
(11111)		Fluency	• Tier 2 20-40 • Tier 3 Below 27	General Outcome Measure	
		- 10010	• TICL 3 DEIOW 2/	General Outcome Measure Differentiate Instruction	
g .	NT.	E d 11	TT: 1.10	Dyslexia Screening	TP'-1 TT 1 /D
Spring	No	Fastbridge	• Tier 1 12	Evaluate Progress	Title I Teacher/Para
(May)		Nonsense	• Tier 2 6-11	Personalized Learning Plan	
		Words	• Tier 3 Below 6	Differentiate Instruction	
	1	Fluency		 Dyslexia Screening 	

1st Grade Assessments 2022-2023

Period	Timed	Measure	Target	Purpose	Administered By
Fall (Sept.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 435-456 Tier 2 413-434 Tier 3 Below 413 CP Above 456 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Individual Growth Plan Personalized Learning 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Fall (Sept.)	Yes	Fastbridge Letter Sound Fluency	 Tier 1 31 Tier 2 21-30 Tier 3 Below 21 	 Evaluate Progress Personalized Learning Plan Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Fall (Sept)	Yes	Fastbridge Nonsense Words Fluency	 Tier 1 10 Tier 2 4-9 Tier 3 Below 4 	 Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Plan Differentiate Instruction Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Winter (Jan.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 455-474 Tier 2 431-454 Tier 3 Below 431 CP Above 474 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Individual Growth Plan Personalized Learning 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Winter (Jan.)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• G	 Title I Eligibility Differentiate Instruction 	Title I Teacher
Winter (Jan.)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 37-73 Tier 2 16-36 Tier 3 Below 16 CP Above 73 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Spring (May)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 468-483 Tier 2 445-467 Tier 3 Below 445 CP Above 483 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Spring (May)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 66-96 Tier 2 30-65 Tier 3 Below 30 CP Above 96 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Spring (May)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• J	Evaluate ProgressDifferentiate Instruction	Title I Teacher Classroom Teacher
Spring (May)	Yes	Fastbridge Nonsense Words Fluency	 Tier 1 22 Tier 2 13-21 Tier 3 Below 13 	 Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Plan Differentiate Instruction Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para

2nd Grade Assessments 2022-2023

Period	Timed	Measure	Target	Purpose	Administered By
Fall (Sept.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 469-487 Tier 2 445-468 Tier 3 Below 445 CP Above 487 	 Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Individual Growth Plan Personalized Learning Plan 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Fall (Sept.)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 56-86 Tier 2 22-55 Tier 3 Below 22 CP Above 86 	 Provides baseline Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Fall (Sept.)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• J/K	Provides baselineDifferentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Fall (Sept.)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	Early Within Word Patterns	 Provides baseline Differentiate Instruction Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Classroom Teacher
Winter (Jan.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 481-498 Tier 2 462-480 Tier 3 Below 462 CP Above 498 	 Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Plan 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Winter (Jan.)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency(CBM)	 Tier 1 84-113 Tier 2 46-83 Tier 3 Below 46 CP Above 113 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Winter (Jan)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• L	Evaluate ProgressDifferentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Winter (Jan.)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	Middle Within Word Patterns	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Classroom Teacher
Spring (May)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 490-504 Tier 2 469-489 Tier 3 Below 469 CP Above 504 	Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Spring (May)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency(CBM)	 Tier 1 101-131 Tier 2 66-100 Tier 3 Below 66 CP Above 131 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Spring (May)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• M	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction 	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Spring (May)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	Late Within Word Patterns	 Provides baseline Differentiate Instruction Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Classroom Teacher

3rd Grade Assessments 2022-2023

Period	Timed	Measure	Target	Purpose	Administered By
Fall (Sept.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 490-504 Tier 2 468-489 Tier 3 Below 468 CP Above 504 	 Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Individual Growth Plan Personalized Learning 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Fall (Sept.)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 87-116 Tier 2 51-86 Tier 3 Below 51 CP Above 116 	 Provides baseline Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Fall (Sept.)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• N	Provides baselineDifferentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Fall (Sept.)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	Middle Within Word Patterns	 Provides baseline Differentiate Instruction Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Classroom Teacher
Winter (Jan.)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 498-511 Tier 2 477-497 Tier 3 Below 477 CP Above 511 	Differentiate InstructionTitle I EligibilityPersonalized Learning	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Winter (Jan.)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 110-137 Tier 2 75-109 Tier 3 Below 75 CP Above 137 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Winter (Jan.)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• 0	Evaluate ProgressDifferentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Winter (Jan.)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	Late Within Word Patterns	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Personalized Learning Plan Dyslexia Screening 	Classroom Teacher
Spring (May)	No	Fastbridge aReading	 Tier 1 503-516 Tier 2 483-502 Tier 3 Below 483 CP Above 516 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning 	Classroom Teacher, FAST Proctor
Spring (May)	Yes, 1 minute	Fastbridge Oral Reading Fluency (CBM)	 Tier 1 125-150 Tier 2 90-124 Tier 3 Below 90 CP Above 150 	 Evaluate Progress Differentiate Instruction Title I Eligibility Personalized Learning Dyslexia Screening 	Title I Teacher/Para
Spring (May)	No	Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Using Fastbridge)	• P	Evaluate ProgressDifferentiate Instruction	Classroom Teacher, Title I Teacher
Spring (May)	No	Words Their Way Spelling Inventory	• Early Syllables and Affixes	Evaluate ProgressDifferentiate InstructionPersonalized Learning PlanDyslexia Screening	Classroom Teacher

Action Planning for Continuous Improvement

Reading curricula at all grades is closely aligned to the 2010 English Language Arts standards as referenced in district standards documents and standards-based report cards.

- o There is a minimum required 120-minute Language Arts block (90-minute reading block and 30-minute writing block) for all students.
- o Benchmark Literacy is the core curriculum in the classroom.
- o Grades K-3 continue to follow the Daily 5 instructional framework in the classroom.
 - Teachers will implement, at a minimum, the following components of effective instruction of Lyle's core instructional practices using a framework for differentiating instruction such as the Daily 5. These components include:
 - Focused mini-lessons on literacy instruction with an emphasis on comprehension.
 - Small groups differentiated guided instruction in reading and writing.
 - · Scaffolded independent reading and writing with individual conferencing.
 - Writer's workshop
- Materials are available in the school's professional library for teachers to review and use in planning differentiated instruction to accelerate learning for all students.
 - Teachers use professional library resources, available integrated technology, and state standards in planning their instruction and assessments to support meeting the diverse needs of our students.
- There is explicit communication of district expectations for high levels of achievement among all students and regular and systematic follow-up with focused conversations on what it will take to achieve these high levels of achievement.
 - o Teachers and administrators express consistent views about achievement related to school goals.
 - o Structures and schedules are focused on literacy practices, providing meaningful instructional time
 - o Appropriate time and resources are allocated for intervention and enrichment.
 - o Practitioners and instructional leaders use data-driven decision-making and problem-solving processes at the school, classroom, and individual student level to make educational decisions.
 - Student-level classroom intervention procedures are based upon on-going collections of student-level data matched to the intervention the student needs using a problem-solving level of analysis.
 - Assessments regularly evaluate and review systemic implementation of curricula and instructional practices by providing evidence that teachers' units and/or lesson plans show intentional planning to foster students' use of literacy and thinking skills.
 - There are training and coaching opportunities that provide on-going access to relevant evidence-based practices. Appropriate support is provided to ensure full implementation of the chosen evidence-based practices.
 - Training and coaching in differentiated instruction are provided to staff.
 - Coaching is focused on increasing teacher and administrator capacities to positively impact student literacy development and proficiency for all students, using and collecting data, and building home-school-community connections.

Evidence-based Interventions

High quality literacy instruction is a complex process that occurs within a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS). Teaching reading and writing is multi-faceted and requires teachers to implement multiple scientifically based practices. Essential components of reading (phonemic awareness, phonics, comprehension, fluency, and vocabulary) are proven to maximize student learning and are embedded in our Core Literacy Instructional Practices and aligned to the 2010 ELA standards. These components include:

- o Shared Reading/Shared Writing
- o Scaffolded independent leveled reading and writing to build stamina
- o Conferring/conferencing with individual students in reading and writing
- o Writer's Workshop and extended writing
- o Small group differentiated guided strategy instruction in reading and writing
- o Vocabulary development, Word Study, and Interactive Read Aloud
- o Active student engagement in purposeful reading and writing
- o Sustained daily writing across the curriculum of mostly self-chosen topics
- Through our MTSS, students not meeting grade level proficiency are provided high yield instructional interventions based on their target areas of need.
 - o Our MTSS includes a continuum of intervention strategies aligned to core instructional practices and delivered by classroom teachers, reading interventionists, and paraprofessionals.
 - o The level of intervention for students not meeting proficiency is determined by common classroom formative assessments and district level summative assessment.
- Leadership has a strong, cohesive vision for all students to make growth and be educated in grade level content standards.
 - Curriculum continually is worked on to be aligned and articulated horizontally and vertically within the school and district to provide a fluid transition from PreK-K, class-to-class, and gradeto-grade.
 - o Grade level learning targets are aligned to the 2010 English Language Arts standards for all students.
 - Leadership has a shared understanding and provides support for effective differentiated instructional strategies.
 - o A range of research-based instructional interventions for students at risk of academic or behavioral failure is in place through our MTSS framework.

See attached multi-tiered instructional plan.

Parent and Community Engagement

In addition to sending progress reports and conducting bi-yearly individual conferences, teachers provide recommendations and share strategies for how parents can support their children's literacy development through site-based parental involvement activities including literacy-focused family fun events and a summer reading program making the school media center collections available to families when school is not in session.

Communication Plan

Reporting to Stakeholders

The Lyle Public School annual report will be shared at an annual meeting in the fall by the DAC/Superintendent during an open monthly school board meeting. Additionally, the annual report will be posted on the school website, ensuring residents have the opportunity to learn about district results, highlights, and achievements.

Reporting to Parents/Guardians

District 497 notifies and involves parents/families in the acceleration of their child's development in multiple ways.

- Using standards-based report cards {two times per year), parents/families are informed of their child's progress toward proficiency of the ELA standards.
- Through family-teacher conferences and ongoing communication, parents are informed of the assessments and instructional practices used to accelerate their child's reading achievement.
- For students who are not meeting proficiency, progress monitoring data will be shared with families on a frequent and on-going basis.
- A variety of resources and tools are available for families, caregivers, and/or community members to support literacy practices at home, for example:
 - o Minnesota Reading Corps RAH Program
 - o Family Literacy Night
 - o On-line resources on District 497 classroom websites
 - o Community Library/School Library open year round

Professional Development

Teachers are provided multiple opportunities to participate in and benefit from professional development related to content area standards, oral language development, and scientifically based reading strategies. Professional development activities are focused on building teachers' capacity to better identify, plan for, and meet the unique needs of the diverse learners identified in building School Improvement Plans. Job embedded professional development occurs within learning cohorts, building staff development days, and consortium-wide grade level meetings to learn and further strengthen current knowledge of best practices in literacy instruction. Trained peer coaches provide support within the instructional setting to assure consistency in the delivery of scientifically based reading strategies. Professional development plans will be determined based on district and building trends in student achievement and instructional practice, as indicated through assessment data, principal learning walks, and principal formal evaluation.

- · PLC's- Professional Learning Communities are centered on student learning and development.
 - o PLCs are focused on instruction and assessment as guided by the School Improvement Plan.
 - PLC Members participate in collective inquiry and collaborative teams, with an orientation toward action and research, a commitment to continuous improvement, and a clear focus on results.
 - o Peer Coaches and administrative observations provided support within the instructional setting to assure consistency in the delivery of scientifically based reading strategies.
 - o School Improvement Plans identify activities designed to increase family and community involvement.
- Teachers participate in annual data retreats where school teams: 1) analyze all of their school data, 2) determine if the goals from the previous year were met, and 3) determine the school goals for the next year and what professional development is necessary to reach those goals.
- Practices are in place that ensure that all necessary and support services are funded and implemented to guide planning and related instruction through use of objective and relevant student assessment information.
 - There is use of administrative and peer monitoring techniques, co-teaching, and coaching to support continuous improvement of instructional practices and maintain common understanding of and fidelity to curricula.
 - o There are appropriate supports for teachers to meet the needs of all students, including coaching of literacy practices and interventions.
 - There are collaborative processes across age levels grades, subjects, building and district administration in place to promote development of competent use of data, literacy practices, and instructional strategies.
 - o Collaborative teaming includes specialists to help provide for regular supports to address students' needs as they arise.
 - o The building level master schedule works to provide common planning time for grade levels and interventionists to design instructional interventions that address specific student need areas.
- There is training for administrators and teachers in formative assessment and flexible grouping strategies to support accelerated growth for all students.

- There is training for administrators and teachers in the effective collection, management, and use of data in planning, implementing, and monitoring instruction.
 - o Professional development opportunities are built into the regular school schedule, with consistent opportunities to learn about new research and practices.
 - o Opportunities are supported to implement and reflect upon new ideas.
 - o Professional development needs are based on data that identifies necessary professional development and reflects the effectiveness of implementation of literacy practices.

Efforts to Identify Students with Dyslexia

The following assessments are used as screeners for identification of those who may have Dyslexia. Poor

Decoding: Letter Sound Fluency, Nonsense Words Fluency, and Oral Reading Fluency

Poor Orthographic Memory and Recall: Letter Name Fluency, Letter Sound Fluency

Poor Spelling: Words Their Way Spelling Inventory Poor Phonemic Awareness: Word Segmenting

Additionally, staff is given a checklist screener with typical indicators of Dyslexia if they believe a student may have Dyslexia.

Efforts to Identify Students with Convergence Disorder

Lyle Public School does not include screening for convergence insufficiency disorder as part of its vision screening program. Parents with concerns about the condition should see their licensed eye care specialist for assessment and treatment.

Multi-Tiered Instructional Plan 2022-2023

K-3	Regular Classroom (Tier 1)	Targeted Intervention (Tier 2)	Intensive Intervention (Tier 3)
Learners	All students	Students scoring below target on a screening measure (Some Risk)	Students scoring significantly low on a screening measure (High Risk) and are not showing growth in target areas.
Instructional leaders	Classroom teachers	leducation teachers and trained	Intervention teachers and special education teachers.
Time Allocation	120 minutes daily of core standards-based instruction and guided reading and writing.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Additional daily, explicit interventions that will help the student make accelerated growth towards target.
Instructional components	Essential Components	Based on the identified need determined by student data and the student's response to the intervention. May include intervention for the following components: Phonological Awareness Phonics Fluency Vocabulary Comprehension	Intensive, explicit instruction in the component/s determined to be a priority for the student based on data.
Grouping structure	Flexible (whole group, small group).	Small group (3-6 students optimal) based on common intervention need. One on one if needed.	Small group or one on one (optimal) based on need.

Instructional	2010 Minnesota Academic Standards for English Language Arts Benchmark Literacy	Explicit instruction using research- based materials and strategies to target areas needed to be on track to read well by 3rd grade as determined by student data.	Explicit instruction using research-based materials and strategies to accelerate growth in targeted areas as identified by student data.
Instructional	Core Curriculum materials/Benchmark Literacy Guided reading materials	Research based programs and strategies that may include, but are not limited to the following: MN Reading Corps Letter Sound Correspondence Repeated Oral Assisted Reading Fountas and Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention Based on student need and response to intervention.	Research based programs and strategies that may include but are not limited to the following: Susan Barton Reading and Spelling SRA Read Naturally Language! Based on student need and response to intervention.
Assessment and Progress Monitoring	 Fastbridge Benchmark Assessments for all students-3 times a year MCA (grades 3-5) 	 Benchmark assessments -3 times a year. Progress monitoring every other week. Diagnostic Assessments 	 Benchmark assessment-3 times a year. Progress monitoring weekly or biweekly to assess intervention efficacy. Diagnostic assessment